

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, DC 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/045,236	03/20/98	SANKARAM		M	07333/022002
JUNE M LEAN		HM21/0928	EXAMINER		
				ACHUTAMURTHY, F	
FISH & RICHARDSON				ART UNI	T PAPER NUMBER
4225 EXECUTIVE SQUARE SUITE 1400 LA JOLLA CA 92037				1648	4
				DATE MAILED:	09/28/98

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/045,236

Applicant(s)

M. Sankaram et al

Examiner

P. Achutamurthy

Group Art Unit 1648



Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·
☐ This action is FINAL .	
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 193	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extens 37 CFR 1.136(a).	e to respond within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
☐ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
	is/are rejected.
Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
☐ Claims	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Application Papers	
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawi	ng Review, PTO-948.
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objection	cted to by the Examiner.
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is Dapproved Disapproved.
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	-
$\hfill\Box$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority	y under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies	of the priority documents have been
☐ received.	
☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial No.	umber)
$\hfill\Box$ received in this national stage application from th	e International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
*Certified copies not received:	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic prior	rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s)	
☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper	No(s)
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-S	348
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON	THE FOLLOWING PAGES

Application/Control Number: 09/045,326 Page 2

Art Unit: 1648

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The Drawing filed with the application is acceptable for examination and is approved by

the PTO draftsperson.

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: .

The status of parent application No. 08/898,017 was not indicated in the Cross Reference

to Related Applications on the first paragraph on page 1. Since this application has been

abandoned, the examiner has inserted the phrase "now abandoned after the filing date (7/21/97)

on line 2.

However, in view of the continuation data provided as the first paragraph on page 1 of the

specification, it would appear that section 2 entitled"Description of Related Application is

redundant. Accordingly, it is suggested that this section be deleted and the remaining sections

renumbered.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Rejection A

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Application/Control Number: 09/045,326 Page 3

Art Unit: 1648

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The claims are drawn to multivesicular liposomes (MLV) prepared by the recited method which comprises, among other steps, one step wherein a "first aqueous component is added in making a water-in-oil emulsion and another step wherein a "second aqueous" component is added. However, nowhere in the specification a re to be found a clear description what constitutes the "first aqueous component" and the "second aqueous component". An aqueous component would be a solution or a mixture of some substance or water-miscible solvent. But the actual aqueous components have not been disclosed with respect to their composition. It is not also clear if the first and second aqueous components are the same or different. Accordingly the specification lacks the requirement for written description under the 35 USC 122, statute.

Rejection B

Claims 1-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The lack of adequate description of the aqueous components renders the claims

Application/Control Number: 09/045,326 Page 4

Art Unit: 1648

nonenabled because without any guidance whatsoever to choose the aqueous components one having ordinary skill in the art would not be able to practice the invention because they would not know what to use as the aqueous component that would yield the multivesicular liposomes with the defined morphological characteristics. They also would not able to indiscriminately use anything that contains water and reasonably expect to obtain the claimed liposomes.

Rejection C

Claims 1-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The expression "nonhydrohalic acid" is vague and indefinite in the absence of specifying the intended acid.

The Group and/or Art Unit location of your application in the PTO has changed. To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to **Group Art Unit 1648**.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to P₁. Achutamurthy whose telephone number is (703) 308-3804. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 7:00 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Donald E. Adams, Ph.D., can be reached on (703) 308-0570. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-4242.

Application/Control Number: 09/045,326

Page 5

Art Unit: 1648

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

PONNATHAPURA ACHUTAMURTHY PRIMARY EXAMINER

GROUP 1800

pa September 24, 1998